arXiv:1608.04243v1 [math.NA] 15 Aug 2016

MULTISCALE SUB-GRID CORRECTION METHOD FOR TIME-HARMONIC HIGH-FREQUENCY ELASTODYNAMICS WITH WAVENUMBER EXPLICIT BOUNDS

DONALD L. BROWN AND DIETMAR GALLISTL

Abstract. The simulation of the elastodynamics equations at high-frequency suffers from the well known pollution effect. The results of this work are two-fold. We present a Petrov–Galerkin multiscale sub-grid correction method that remains pollution free in natural resolution and oversampling regimes. This is accomplished by generating corrections to coarse-grid spaces with supports determined by oversampling lengths related to the $\log(k)$, k being the wavenumber. Key to this method are polynomial-in-k bounds for stability constants and related inf-sup constants. The second, and principle accomplishment of this paper, is establishing polynomial-in-k bounds for the elastodynamics stability constants in general Lipschitz domains with radiation boundary conditions in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Previous methods relied on variational techniques, Rellich identities, and geometric constraints. In the context of elastodynamics, these suffer from the need to hypothesize a Korn's inequality on the boundary. The methods in this work are based on boundary integral operators and estimation of Green's function's derivatives dependence on k and do not require this extra hypothesis. We also implemented numerical examples in two and three dimensions to show the method eliminates pollution in the natural resolution and oversampling regimes, as well as performs well when compared to standard Lagrange finite elements.

1. Introduction

Modelling and simulating high-frequency wave propagation in complex media is a computationally demanding process. The need in applications to simulate wave propagation with accurate and robust numerical methods is wide ranging. In acoustics applications such as the automotive and aerospace industries, the need to understand sound propagation is critical for vibration control and consumer comfort. For more complex mechanical media, the acoustic (Helmholtz) equation is not sufficient to describe the real propagation of signals and waves. This is the case in subsurface seismic imaging applications, whereby attenuation from the elastic properties must be taken into account. The simulation of accurate signal propagation through the subsurface is utilized in calibrating the material properties in earth models and thus must be fast and robust. This is utilized in application domains ranging from environmental to petroleum exploration, and even mining engineering applications.

It has been known for many years that at high-frequency, using numerical methods in Helmholtz type problems yields a pollution effect in the solution if the mesh parameter, h, is able not to resolve the effects of high-frequency k. It is has been shown that using a finite stencil completely eliminating the pollution effect is impossible [3]. There are a wide range of methodologies and techniques for trying

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J05, 65N12, 65N15, 65N30 .

Key words and phrases. elastic Helmholtz equation; time-harmonic wave propagation; stability estimates; multiscale method.

to combat the pollution error and here we mention only a few. A plane wave Lagrange multiplier technique is utilized in [40] for the mid-frequency range. Utilizing a Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) formulation for both h and hp the authors develop methods for high-frequency in [16, 17]. In the boundary integral method setting, asymptotic methods may be used [7]. By going to a fully DG context and computing an optimal test space, the authors in [42] are able to obtain a pollution free method in one space dimension. A breakthrough was achieved by relating the polynomial order p to the frequency k in a logarithmic way utilizing hp methods in [34, 36]. In this work, we shall utilize a method based on the so-called Local Orthogonal Decomposition (LOD) [31].

The LOD method is based on utilizing quasi-interpolation operators to build a fine scale or detail space. Then, by forcing orthogonality an augmented coarse-space is constructed. However, the support of these corrections to the original coarse-space are global. To make the scheme computationally efficient a truncation to local patch procedure is implemented. The errors of such a truncation can be carefully tracked [20, 31]. The method originally was conceived to handle elliptic problems with very rough and multiscale coefficients. However, since its inception it has seen generalizations to semi-linear equations [19, 21], oversampling methods [22], problems with microstructure [6], and parabolic problems [30], just to name a few. For this paper, we utilize the method's effective ability to eliminate pollution in optimal coarse-grid, H, and frequency, k, regimes [5, 18, 38]. Assuming polynomial-in-k growth of stability and inf-sup constants of the continuous problem, and supposing patch truncations (oversampling) parameter m of order $\log(k)$, we obtain a pollution free method in the resolution condition, $Hk \lesssim 1$ range.

However, polynomial-in-k growth of stability constants is not guaranteed as trapping domains may yield constants of order $\exp(k)$, for certain frequency values [4, 8]. The study and calculation of the stability and related inf-sup constants and their dependence on k is a vivid area of active research. In the acoustic setting, given certain geometric and convexity conditions, the original work of [33], gave polynomial (constant) bounds in k. Subsequent generalizations and extensions of these methods in the Helmholtz setting can be found in [11, 23, 24]. In general, these methods rely on variational techniques that utilize the special test function $x \cdot \nabla u$, Rellich identities, geometric constraints, and various boundary condition constraints. These methods have also recently been extended to the case of smooth weakly heterogeneous coefficients [5], although with serious constraints on coefficients that can be considered.

Prior to this work, a key issue in using this variational and Rellich identities method for the elastodynamics (elastic Helmholtz) case is the fact that the stress terms arrive on certain boundary integrals. In standard elasticity analysis, Korn's second inequality (see [32]) is employed to handle these terms in the interior of the domain. However, in the fundamental work of [11], to obtain gradient lower bounds on these stress terms a "boundary" Korn's inequality must be *conjectured* of the form

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T \right) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \geq C \left(\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} - \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

for some boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d = 2, 3$. Although such a result may seem reasonable it is as yet unproven and must be assumed to obtain polynomial-in-k bounds for the elastodynamics case. However, in the recent works of [15, 34, 35], a new technique is developed in the Helmholtz case without using the variational techniques and instead relying on boundary integral operators, estimates-in-k of Green's functions, and Green's identity. These techniques make minimal (Lipschitz

domain) geometric assumptions on the domain, but must be used for radiation (Robin type) boundary conditions. It is this technique that we use in this work for the elastodynamics case with radiation boundary conditions. The main theoretical contribution of this work is the development of polynomial-in-k bounds for elastodynamics (elastic Helmholtz) without the use of a conjectured boundary Korn's inequality (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3$. With the case for $\mathbb{R}^2$ to be a seemingly obtainable by the same techniques, but left to future work.

The proof of the polynomial bounds requires many auxiliary computations and results, so we sketch the organization of the proof and paper as follows. In Section 2, we present the problem set up of the elastodynamics equations with radiation boundary conditions in the frequency domain and its related variational forms. Then, we utilize standard a-priori and variational techniques, along with the ideas from [15], where we write the solution via single and double layer potentials by Green's identity. Using estimates for the layer potentials we obtain an estimate for u in terms of known boundary norms of u and $\sigma(u)\cdot\nu$. This gives polynomial-in-k bounds as well as the related inf-sup constant. In Section 3, we present the ideas of the multiscale sub-grid correction algorithm as in [5, 18, 38]. Here we present the algorithm as well as the basic error analysis. We implemented examples for both two and three dimensional examples and see that the method performs well in handling pollution effects when compared to the standard Lagrange finite elements.

The later sections contain an interthreaded piece of the auxiliary results needed in the above estimates. In Section 4, to obtain estimates for the single and double layer potentials, denoted $\widetilde{V}_k, \widetilde{K}_k$, respectively, is the primary challenge. The estimates are well studied for $k = 0$, $\widetilde{V}_0, \widetilde{K}_0$. This is from the now classical work [12, 26] on elastostatics. The key is to be able to formally write

$$\widetilde{V}_k(\cdot) = \widetilde{V}_0(\cdot) + N_k(k^2\widetilde{V}_0(\cdot)), \tag{1.2}$$

(same for $\widetilde{K}_k$) as is done in [35]. Here, $N_k(f) = G_k(f)$, is just the Green's function convolution operator for G_k the Green's function, or in this case a matrix. In this mechanics context, this is referred to as the Kupradze matrix [28, 29]. The k-dependence of derivatives of Green's function (Kupradze matrix) is critical for the estimates in Section 4 and subsequently Section 2. This is the topic of Section 5. We use the Fourier techniques to estimate the Green's function similar to [34]. This yields estimates for N_k, and thus using known estimates for $\widetilde{V}_0, \widetilde{K}_0$, in elastostatics [12, 26] and the representation (1.2) we obtain estimates for $\widetilde{V}_k, \widetilde{K}_k$, for Section 4. With these estimates and Green's identity we are able to produce the estimates in Section 2. Some details on spherical harmonics and spherical Bessel functions are given as an appendix.

Standard notation on complex-valued Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces applies in this paper. The real and imaginary part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ reads $\Re z$ and $\Im z$, respectively. The imaginary unit is $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$ and the bar denotes complex conjugation $\bar{z} = \Re z - \mathbf{i}\Im z$. The analysis in this paper is explicit in the wavenumber k and in the mesh-size parameters H and h of the finite element spaces. Generic constants, often denoted by C and possibly having different values at different occurrences, are independent of those parameters. An inequality $A \leq CB$, will be frequently abbreviated by $A \lesssim B$. The notation $A \approx B$ means $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$. We focus on the regime of large wave numbers k and will sometimes use that k is sufficiently large.

2. Elastodynamic Equation in the Frequency Domain

In this section, we will introduce the governing equations for the three dimensional elastodynamic equation. Here we introduce the related variational form,

as well as the critical Korn (in the interior of the domain) and Gårding inequalities. We will then prove the wavenumber explicit bounds for the solution and show that we obtain frequency k-polynomial bounds of the solution given a radiation boundary condition on a bounded connected Lipschitz domain. From this we are able to obtain an estimate for the inf-sup stability constant utilized heavily in our multiscale numerical algorithm.

2.1. **Elastodynamics Governing Equations.** We now begin the problem setting. First, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded connected Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and take $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$. We suppose that u that satisfies the elastodynamic equation (time-harmonic elastic wave equation in the frequency domain) with radiation boundary conditions. We suppose that our material satisfies a homogeneous isotropic stress tensor

$$\sigma(u) = 2\mu\varepsilon(u) + \lambda \mathrm{tr}(\varepsilon(u)) = \mu(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) + \lambda \mathrm{div}(u) I, \tag{2.1}$$

where the symmetric gradient is given by $\varepsilon(u) = (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)/2$, I is the identity matrix, and superscript T denotes transpose. We let $u = (u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{(3)})$ be the solution of the following governing equations

$$-\mathrm{div}(\sigma(u)) - k^2 u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\sigma(u)\cdot\nu + \mathbf{i}ku = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{2.2b}$$

Note that this is equivalent to the Lamé equations

$$-\mu\Delta u - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla(\mathrm{div}(u)) - k^2 u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{2.3a}$$

$$\lambda \mathrm{div}(u)\cdot\nu + \mu(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)\cdot\nu + \mathbf{i}ku = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{2.3b}$$

Here λ and μ, are the Lamé constants with $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda > -2\mu/3$ [12, 26]. We require further that $\lambda + 2\mu > 0$ for strong ellipticity [32, p. 297]. The boundary condition is the elastodynamic analogue of the Robin boundary condition $\nabla u\cdot\nu + \mathbf{i}ku = g$ (where ν is the outward normal) in the acoustic Helmholtz context. In elasticity, a traction boundary condition is required, here we define the traction conormal as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} := \sigma(u)\cdot\nu = \lambda \mathrm{div}(u)\cdot\nu + \mu(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)\cdot\nu, \tag{2.4}$$

which for notational brevity we will often denote $\partial_\nu u$. The corresponding variational form can be arrived at via the Betti formula [25], multiplying (2.2) by $\bar{v}$ and integrating we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_\Omega \mathrm{div}(\sigma(u))\bar{v}dx - \int_\Omega k^2 u\bar{v}dx &= \int_\Omega \sigma(u) : \nabla\bar{v}dx - \int_{\partial\Omega}\sigma(u)\cdot\nu\bar{v}ds - \int_\Omega k^2 u\bar{v}dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \left(\mu(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) + \lambda\mathrm{div}(u)I\right) : \nabla\bar{v}dx - \int_{\partial\Omega}(g - \mathbf{i}ku)\bar{v}ds - \int_\Omega k^2 u\bar{v}dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have full contraction denoted by $A : B = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}B_{ij}$. Thus, using the identity $\mathrm{div}(u)I : \nabla\bar{v} = \mathrm{div}(u)\mathrm{div}(\bar{v})$, and $2(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) : \nabla\bar{v} = (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) : (\nabla\bar{v} + (\nabla\bar{v})^T)$, we may write the following variational form. Find $u \in (H^1(\Omega))^3$, satisfying

$$\Phi(u,\bar{v}) = F(v) \text{ for all } \bar{v} \in (H^1(\Omega))^3, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Phi(u,\bar{v}) = \Phi_\Omega(u,\bar{v}) + \Phi_{\partial\Omega}(u,\bar{v})$ and F are given by

$$\Phi_\Omega(u,\bar{v}) = \int_\Omega \left(\lambda\mathrm{div}(u)\mathrm{div}(\bar{v}) + 2\mu\varepsilon(u) : \varepsilon(v) - k^2 u\bar{v}\right)dx \tag{2.6a}$$

$$\Phi_{\partial\Omega}(u,\bar{v}) = \mathbf{i}k\int_{\partial\Omega} u\bar{v}ds,\ F(v) = \int_{\partial\Omega} g\bar{v}ds + \int_\Omega f\bar{v}dx \tag{2.6b}$$

Here dx is the standard Lebesgue volume measure, and ds the standard Lebesgue surface measure. We will denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(\Omega)}$, $s = 1, 2$, to be the standard Sobolev norms. When there is no ambiguity we will not differentiate between the vector norms and scalar norms.

Recall, for the open bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, Korn's second inequality (in the interior) [32]

$$\|\varepsilon(u)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq c_{\text{Korn}} \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - C_{\text{Korn}} \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} . \tag{2.7}$$

We have for some C, c positive and independent of k, that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\Phi_\Omega(u, \bar{u}) &= 2\mu \|\varepsilon(u)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\text{div}(u)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - k^2 \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\geq C \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - (c + k^2) \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Indeed, we see that this is the Gårding's inequality on $(H^1(\Omega))^3$. With an argument analogous to that of [33, Sect. 8.1] one can show that (2.5) is uniquely solvable. We will make the k-dependence precise in Theorem 2.3 below.

2.2. **k-Polynomial Growth of the Stability Constant.** Here we present the main k-growth estimates of this work. We establish polynomial growth of the stability constant with respect to wavenumber k for system (2.2). We will first require a few auxiliary lemmas similar to those obtained for the Helmholtz case in [15]. Throughout this section, we will use the following notation

$$\|u\|_{1,k} := \left(\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + k^2 \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{1/2} .$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $u \in (H^1(\Omega))^3$ be a solution to (2.2) with $f = 0$, with $g \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$. Then,*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. As in [15], taking $v = u$ in (2.5), taking imaginary parts, and a use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we arrive at the above estimate. □

For the next lemma, we will need a representation of the solution of (2.2) of the form

$$u = \widetilde{V}_k(\sigma(u) \cdot \nu) - \widetilde{K}_k(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2.10}$$

that is often referred to as Somigliana's in this context (or Green's in the case of acoustics) formula from [27, Chapter 1.6]. Here, $\widetilde{V}_k$ and $\widetilde{K}_k$ are the layer potentials defined in Section 4.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $u \in (H^1(\Omega))^3$ be a solution to (2.2) with $f = 0$, and further assume $u|_{\partial\Omega} \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$ and $\sigma(u) \cdot \nu \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$. Then, we have the following estimates*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ck \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|\sigma(u) \cdot \nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \right), \tag{2.11a}$$

$$\|u\|_{1,k} \leq C \left(k^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^2 \|\sigma(u) \cdot \nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^{-2} \|\sigma(u) \cdot \nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \right). \tag{2.11b}$$

Proof. As in [15], we use Somigliana's formula (2.10) and the following estimates for the layer potentials from Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8.

$$\left\| \widetilde{V}_k(\sigma(u) \cdot \nu) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ck \|\sigma(u) \cdot \nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \ \left\| \widetilde{K}_k(u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ck \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)},$$

and so

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ck \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|\sigma(u) \cdot \nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \right).$$

Taking $v = u$ in (2.5), we have after a use of the Korn's second inequality (2.7) and using the above relation

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{1,k}^2 &\leq C\left(k^2\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sigma(u)\cdot\nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\right)\\ &\leq C\left(k^4\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + k^4\|\sigma(u)\cdot\nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + k^{-4}\|\sigma(u)\cdot\nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note here we suppose that k is sufficiently large to so that $C_{\mathrm{Korn}} \lesssim k^2$ as to dominate the weaker, but more general Korn's second inequality. Thus, after taking square roots, we have proved our inequalities. □

We now state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ be a solution to (2.2), with $g \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$ and $f \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of k, such that*

$$\|u\|_{1,k} \leq C\left(k^2\|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^{5/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Taking the same approach as [15], we transform the right hand side f to the boundary. We define $u_0 = G_k(f)$ (extending f to zero outside Ω), where G_k is the Green's function corresponding to (2.2), written explicitly in Section 4, (4.4a). We know from estimates in Section 5.2, (5.11), that

$$k^{-1}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + k\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Writing $w = u - u_0$, we obtain

$$-\mathrm{div}(\sigma(w)) - k^2 w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{2.14a}$$

$$\sigma(w)\cdot\nu + \mathbf{i}kw = g - (\sigma(u_0)\cdot\nu + \mathbf{i}ku_0) := \tilde{g} \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{2.14b}$$

Using the multiplicative trace inequality on $\tilde{g}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq& C\left(\|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^{1/2}\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{1/2} + k\,\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{1/2}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2}\right)\\ \leq& C\left(\|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We have from (2.9) that

$$k^2\|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq k\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq Ck\left(\|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right).$$

Using $\sigma(w)\cdot\nu = \tilde{g} - \mathbf{i}kw$ on $\partial\Omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}k^2\|\sigma(w)\cdot\nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} &\leq Ck^2\left(\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k\|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\right)\\ &\leq C\left(k^2\|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^{5/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining the dominant powers of k in the above two estimates with (2.11b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + k^2\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right)^{1/2} &\leq C\left(k^2\|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^2\|\sigma(w)\cdot\nu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\right)\\ &\leq C\left(k^2\|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + k^{5/2}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Including the Newton potential bounds (2.13), we obtain our estimates. □

We now are in a position to derive the so-called inf-sup condition also derived in the same way as [5, 15]. The proof will make use of the unique solvability in the case of constant material coefficients, see the discussion at the end of the foregoing subsection.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then there exists a $C > 0$ independent of k, such that for the variational form Φ in (2.5), satisfies*

$$\inf_{0\neq u\in H^1(\Omega)} \sup_{0\neq v\in H^1(\Omega)} \frac{\Re\Phi(u,v)}{\|u\|_{1,k}\,\|v\|_{1,k}} \geq Ck^{-7/2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, the inf-sup constant from (3.4) in Section 3, for the above elastodynamics equation in three dimensions, is $\gamma^{E,3d}(k,\Omega) = Ck^{7/2}$.

Proof. Let $u \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ be given. As in [15] we define an auxiliary solution $z \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ satisfying

$$\Phi(v,z) = 2k^2(v,u)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{2.16}$$

and thus, by Theorem 2.3, $\|z\|_{1,k} \leq Ck^{5/2}\|k^2u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ck^{9/2}\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Letting $v = u + z$, and using the relation (2.16), we obtain

$$\Re\Phi(u,v) = \Re\Phi(u,u) + \Re\Phi(u,z) =$$
$$\int_\Omega \left(\lambda|\mathrm{div}(u)|^2 + 2\mu|\varepsilon(u)|^2 - k^2|u|^2\right) dx + 2k^2(u,u)_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Then, applying Korn's second inequality (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\Phi(u,v) &= \Re\Phi(u,u) + 2\int_\Omega k^2|u|^2dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \left(\lambda|\mathrm{div}(u)|^2 + 2\mu|\varepsilon(u)|^2\right) dx + \int_\Omega k^2|u|^2dx \\ &\geq c\,\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\omega)} + (k^2 - C)\,\|u\|^2_{L^2(\omega)} \geq C\,\|u\|^2_{1,k}\,,\end{aligned}$$

where again we used $C \lesssim k^2$. Finally, using the bound $\|v\|_{1,k} = \|u+z\|_{1,k} \leq Ck^{7/2}\,\|u\|_{1,k}$, we have

$$\Re\Phi(u,v) \geq C\,\|u\|^2_{1,k} \geq Ck^{-7/2}\,\|u\|_{1,k}\,\|v\|_{1,k}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Taking $\gamma^{E,3d}(k,\Omega) = Ck^{7/2}$ yields the result. □

3. Multiscale Method

In this section we describe the application of the multiscale Petrov–Galerkin method (msPGFEM or msPG method) from [5, 18, 38] to the elastic setting. This method is based on ideas in an algorithm developed for homogenization problems in [6, 22, 31] also known as Localized Orthogonal Decomposition. The ideas have been adapted to the Helmholtz problem for homogeneous coefficients in [38], and later presented in the Petrov–Galerkin framework [5, 18, 39].

3.1. Meshes and Data Structures.

We begin with the basic notation needed regarding the relevant mesh and data structures. We keep the presentation general and will link it back to the elastodynamics case as we proceed. Let $\mathcal{T}_H$ be a shape-regular partition of Ω into intervals, parallelograms, parallelepipeds for $d = 1, 2, 3$, respectively, such that $\bigcup \mathcal{T}_H = \overline{\Omega}$ and any two distinct $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_H$ are either disjoint or share exactly one lower-dimensional hyper-face (that is a vertex or an edge for $d \in \{2, 3\}$ or a face for $d = 3$). We suppose the mesh is quasi-uniform. For simplicity, we are considering quadrilaterals (resp. hexahedra) with parallel faces.

Given any subdomain $S \subset \overline{\Omega}$, we define its neighborhood to be

$$\omega(S) := \mathrm{int}\left(\cup\{T \in \mathcal{T}_H \,:\, T \cap \overline{S} \neq \emptyset\}\right).$$

Furthermore, we introduce for any $m \geq 2$ the patch extensions

$$\omega^1(S) := \omega(S) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \omega^m(S) := \omega(\omega^{m-1}(S)).$$

Note that the shape-regularity implies that there is a uniform bound denoted $C_{\mathrm{ol},m}$, on the number of elements in the mth-order patch, $\#\{K \in \mathcal{T}_H : K \subset \overline{\omega^m(T)}\} \leq C_{\mathrm{ol},m}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$. We will abbreviate $C_{\mathrm{ol}} := C_{\mathrm{ol},1}$. The assumption that the coarse-scale mesh $\mathcal{T}_H$ is quasi-uniform implies that $C_{\mathrm{ol},m}$ depends polynomially on m. The global mesh-size is $H := \max\{\mathrm{diam}(T)\}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$.

We will denote $Q_p(\mathcal{T}_H)$ to be the space of piecewise polynomials of partial degree less than or equal to p. The space of globally continuous piecewise first-order polynomials is given by $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{T}_H) := C^0(\Omega) \cap Q_1(\mathcal{T}_H)$, and by incorporating the Dirichlet condition we arrive at the standard Q_1 finite element space denoted here as

$$V_H := [\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{T}_H)]^d \cap V.$$

To construct our fine-scale and, thus, multiscale spaces we will need to define a coarse-grid quasi-interpolation operator. For simplicity of presentation,we suppose here that this quasi-interpolation is also projective. We let $I_H : V \to V_H$ be a surjective quasi-interpolation operator that acts as a stable quasi-local projection in the sense that $I_H^2 = I_H$ and that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ and all $v \in V$ the following local stability result holds

$$H^{-1}\|v - I_H v\|_{L^2(T)} + \|\nabla I_H v\|_{L^2(T)} \leq C_{I_H}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\omega(T))}. \tag{3.1}$$

Under the mesh condition that

$$kH \lesssim 1$$

is bounded by a generic constant, this implies stability in the $\|\cdot\|_V$ norm

$$\|I_H v\|_V \leq C_{I_H,V}\|v\|_V \quad \text{for all } v \in V, \tag{3.2}$$

with a k-independent constant $C_{I_H,V}$.

One possible choice and which we use in our implementation of the method, is to define $I_H := E_H \circ \Pi_H$, where Π_H is the piecewise L^2 projection onto $Q_1(\mathcal{T}_H)$ and E_H is the averaging operator that maps $Q_1(\mathcal{T}_H)$ to V_H by assigning to each free vertex the arithmetic mean of the corresponding function values of the neighbouring cells, that is, for any $v \in Q_1(\mathcal{T}_H)$ and any free vertex z,

$$(E_H(v))(z) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}_H \\ z \text{ vertex of } T \\ z \notin \Gamma_D}} v|_T(z) \Big/ \#\{K \in \mathcal{T}_H : z \in K\}.$$

Note that with this choice of quasi-interpolation, $E_H(v)|_{\Gamma_D} = 0$ by construction. For this choice, the proof of (3.1) follows from standard arguments [13].

3.2. **The Variational Setting.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for $d = 2$ or $d = 3$ be a bounded polygonal Lipschitz domain with disjoint boundary portions Γ_R, Γ_D, Γ_N and define the energy space $V := \{v \in (H^1(\Omega))^d : v|_{\Gamma_D} = 0\}$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_V := \sqrt{k^2\|\mathfrak{C}^{1/2}\cdot\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla\cdot\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}$ (which is, by Korn's inequality, equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{1,k}$ from prior sections). We define the elasticity tensor $\mathfrak{C}$ to act on a symmetric $d \times d$ matrix M by double contraction as $\mathfrak{C}M = 2\mu M + \lambda \,\mathrm{tr}\, M I_{d\times d}$. As equation (2.5), define on V the sesquilinear form

$$a(v,w) := (\mathfrak{C}\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))_{L^2(\Omega)} - (k^2 u, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\mathbf{i}ku, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_R \cap \partial\Omega)}. \tag{3.3}$$

For a given volume force $f \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ and Robin data $g \in L^2(\Gamma_R)$, the elasticity problem in variational form seeks $u \in V$ such that

$$a(u,v) = (f,v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (g,v)_{L^2(\Gamma_R)} \qquad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

For simplicity, we focus on homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann data. For the case that $\Gamma_R = \partial\Omega$, the results from this paper prove the stability condition

$$\big(\gamma(\kappa,\Omega)\big)^{-1} \leq \inf_{v\in V\setminus\{0\}} \sup_{\tilde v\in \widetilde V\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\Re a(v,\tilde v)}{\|v\|_V \|\tilde v\|_V}. \tag{3.4}$$

where $\gamma(\kappa,\Omega)$ depends polynomially on k. For more general boundary configurations, the polynomial growth of $\gamma(\kappa,\Omega)$ in (3.4) will be imposed as an *assumption* throughout this numerical methods section.

3.3. **Definition of the Method.** The multiscale method is determined by three parameters, namely the coarse-scale mesh-size H, the fine-scale mesh-size h, and the oversampling parameter m. We assign to any $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ its m-th order patch $\Omega_T := \omega^m(T)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and define for any $v, w \in V$ the localized sesquilinear forms of (2.6) (resp. (3.3)) to Ω_T as

$$a_{\Omega_T}(u,v) = (\mathfrak{C}\varepsilon(u),\varepsilon(v))_{L^2(\Omega_T)} - (k^2 u, v)_{L^2(\Omega_T)} + (\mathbf{i}ku, v)_{L^2(\partial\Omega_T\cap\Gamma_R)}.$$

and to T, we have

$$a_T(u,v) = (\mathfrak{C}\varepsilon(u),\varepsilon(v)))_{L^2(T)} - (k^2 u, v)_{L^2(T)} + (\mathbf{i}ku, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_R\cap\partial T)}.$$

Let the fine-scale mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$, be a global uniform refinement of the mesh $\mathcal{T}_H$ over Ω and define

$$V_h(\Omega_T) := \{v \in [Q_1(\mathcal{T}_h)]^d \cap V \ : v = 0 \text{ outside } \Omega_T\}.$$

Define the null space

$$W_h(\Omega_T) := \{v_h \in V_h(\Omega_T) \ : \ I_H(v_h) = 0\}$$

of the quasi-interpolation operator I_H defined in the previous section. This is the space often referred to as the fine-scale or small-scale space. Given any scalar nodal basis function Λ_z and the vector basis function $\Lambda_z e_j \in V_H$, $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denoting the jth Cartesian unit vector, and let $\lambda_{z,T}^{(j)} \in W_h(\Omega_T)$ solve the subscale corrector problem

$$a_{\Omega_T}(w, \lambda_{z,T}^{(j)}) = a_T(w, \Lambda_z e_j) \quad \text{for all } w \in W_h(\Omega_T). \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\lambda_z^{(j)} := \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_H} \lambda_{z,T}^{(j)}$ and define the multiscale test function

$$\widetilde\Lambda_z^{(j)} := \Lambda_z e_j - \lambda_z^{(j)}.$$

The space of multiscale test functions then reads

$$\widetilde V_H := \operatorname{span}\{\widetilde\Lambda_z^{(j)} \ : \ z \text{ free vertex in } \mathcal{T}_H,\ j =\in \{1,\dots,d\}\}.$$

We emphasize that the dimension of the multiscale space is the same as the original coarse space, $\dim V_H = \dim \widetilde V_H$. Moreover, it is independent of the parameters m and h. Finally, the multiscale Petrov–Galerkin FEM seeks to find $u_H \in V_H$ such that

$$a(u_H, \tilde v_H) = (f, \tilde v_H)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (g, \tilde v_H)_{L^2(\Gamma_R)} \quad \text{for all } \tilde v_H \in \widetilde V_H. \tag{3.6}$$

As in [18], the error analysis shows that the choice $H \lesssim k^{-1}$, $m \approx \log(k)$ will be sufficient to guarantee stability and quasi-optimality properties, provided that $k^\alpha h \lesssim 1$ where α depends on the stability and regularity of the continuous problem. The conditions on h are the same as for the standard Q_1 FEM on the global fine scale. For example, the stability analysis in this paper combined the arguments of [33] shows that in three space dimensions $k^{7/2}h \lesssim 1$ is sufficient for stability and quasi-optimality for the case of pure Robin boundary conditions.

3.4. **Brief Error Analysis.** As the related error analysis of the method and the truncated method are well studied [5, 18], we give a brief overview of the main results and error estimates available to out multiscale method. The key point being that for our method to remain pollution free and be computationally tractable, the solution must obey polynomial-k growth. This is connected to our analysis of the inf-sup condition in Section 2.2. The polynomial growth of $\gamma(\kappa, \Omega)$ in (3.4) for the case of a pure Robin boundary is verified in this paper.

Throughout this section we assume the natural resolution condition

$$\kappa H \approx 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.1 (well-posedness of corrector problems)**.** *Provided $\kappa H \lesssim 1$, the corrector problem* (3.5) *is well-posed. We have for all $w \in W_h$ equivalence of norms*

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \|w\|_V \lesssim \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{3.8}$$

and coercivity

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \Re a(w, w). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. The first inequality of (3.8) follows from Korn's inequality (2.7). The second estimate follows from the interpolation estimate (3.1) and the finite overlap of element patches. Indeed, for any $w \in W_h$,

$$\kappa^2 \|w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \kappa^2 \|(1 - I_H)w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim (\kappa H)^2 \|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

This estimate and Korn's inequality yield for some constants c, C that

$$\Re a(w, w) = \|\mathfrak{C}^{1/2}\varepsilon(w)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - k^2\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq c\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - C(\kappa H)^2\|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

so that, for $(\kappa H)^2$ small enough, we conclude the coercivity (3.9). □

Provided h is chosen fine enough, the standard FEM over $\mathcal{T}_h$ is stable in the sense that there exists a constant C_{FEM} such that with $\gamma(\kappa, \Omega)$ from (3.4) there holds

$$\big(C_{\mathrm{FEM}}\gamma(\kappa, \Omega)\big)^{-1} \leq \inf_{v \in V_h \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{w \in V_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\Re a(v, w)}{\|v\|_V \|w\|_V}. \tag{3.10}$$

This is actually a condition on the fine-scale parameter h. In general, the requirements on h depend on the stability of the continuous problem.

The following two results follow as in [18, 38]. Their proofs are omitted for brevity.

Theorem 3.2 (well-posedness of the discrete problem)**.** *Under the resolution conditions* (3.7) *and* (3.10) *and the following oversampling condition*

$$m \geq C_1 |\log\big(C\gamma(\kappa, \Omega)\big)| \tag{3.11}$$

problem (3.6) *is well-posed and there is a constant C_{PG} satisfying*

$$\big(C_{\mathrm{PG}}\gamma(\kappa, \Omega)\big)^{-1} \leq \inf_{v_H \in V_H \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\tilde{v}_H \in \widetilde{V}_H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\Re a(v_H, \tilde{v}_H)}{\|v_H\|_V \|\tilde{v}_H\|_V}.$$

Theorem 3.3 (quasi-optimality)**.** *The resolution conditions* (3.7) *and* (3.10) *and the oversampling condition* (3.11) *imply that the solution u_H to* (3.6) *with parameters H, h, and m and the solution u_h of the standard Galerkin FEM on the mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$ satisfy*

$$\|u_h - u_H\|_V \lesssim \|(1 - I_H)u_h\|_V \approx \min_{v_H \in V_H} \|u_h - v_H\|_V.$$

The following consequence of Theorem 3.3 states an estimate for the error $u - u_H$.



FIGURE 1. Normalized errors $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}/\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (left) and $\|\cdot\|_V/\|u\|_V$ (right) for the 3D example for $k = 16$.

Corollary 3.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.3, the discrete solution u_H to* (3.6) *satisfies with some constant $C \approx 1$ that*

$$\|u - u_H\|_V \leq \|u - u_h\|_V + C \min_{v_H \in V_H} \|u_h - v_H\|_V.$$

□

3.5. **Numerical Experiment in 3D.** We present a numerical experiment on the unit cube $\Omega = (0,1)^3$ with Robin boundary. The exact solution reads

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{k^2|x+q|} \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\mathbf{i}k|x+q|) - 1 \\ \exp(-\mathbf{i}k|x+q|) - 1 \\ \exp(\mathbf{i}k|x+q|) - 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The data f and g were computed according to the Lamé coefficients $\mu = 1 = \lambda$. We compare the msPG FEM with the standard Q_1 FEM for wavenumbers $k = 16$ and $k = 32$ on uniform meshes with mesh size $H = 2^{-3}, 2^{-4}, 2^{-5}$. The reference mesh size is $h = 1/64$. Figure 1 compares the normalized errors in the $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ norm and the $\|\cdot\|_V$ norm for $k = 16$. Figure 2 displays the corresponding results for $k = 32$. of the Q_1 FEM with those of the msPG method with oversampling parameters $m = 1$ and $m = 2$. While the performance of the FEM is dominated by the pollution effect, the msPG FEM yields accurate results, in particular for $m = 2$. For $k = 32$, we observe resonance effects in the error of the msPG method for meshes close to the resolution $kH \approx 1$. Figure 3 displays slice plots of the pointwise error for the FEM and the msPG method ($m = 2$) for $k = 32$ on the mesh $\mathcal{T}_H$ with $H = 2^{-5}$.

3.6. **Numerical Experiment in 2D.** We consider the square with hole $\Omega = (0,1)^2 \setminus [0.375, 0.625]^2$ with Robin boundary conditions $\Gamma_R = \partial(0,1)^2$ on the outer boundary and zero Dirichlet conditions $\Gamma_D = \partial[0.4, 0.6]^2$ on the inner boundary. The Robin data is $g = 0$ while f is the approximate point source with components

$$f_j(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-(20|x|)^2}\right) & \text{for } |x| < 1/20 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

The Lamé parameters are $\mu = 1 = \lambda$. The coarse meshes $\mathcal{T}_H$ have mesh sizes $H = 2^{-5}, 2^{-6}, 2^{-7}, 2^{-8}$ and the reference mesh size is $h = 2^{-11}$. Since the exact solution is unknown, we took the finite element solution with respect to the fine-scale mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$ as a reference solution. We chose wavenumbers $k = 64$ and $k = 128$. Figure 4 displays the normalized errors in the $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ norm and the $\|\cdot\|_V$ norm



FIGURE 2. Normalized errors $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}/\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (left) and $\|\cdot\|_V/\|u\|_V$ (right) for the 3D example for $k = 32$.



FIGURE 3. Slice plots of the modulus of the pointwise error of the FEM (left) and the msPG method with $m = 2$ (right) for the 3D example with $k = 32$.

for $k = 64$ for the FEM and the msPG method with $m = 1$ and $m = 2$. The errors for $k = 128$ are shown in Figure 5. Figure 6 shows the elastic displacement computed with the msPG method for $m = 2$ and $H = 2^{-7}$. In all cases, the msPG approximation has optimal order under the natural resolution condition whereas the FEM suffers from pollution.

4. Layer Potentials

In this section, we will introduce the ideas and notation to analyze the first and second layer potentials in elastodynamics. We will introduce the Green's function (in this setting it is the Kupradze matrix) and related boundary and Newton potentials. These, via Somigliana's formula (Green's identiy), give a representation for the solution of the elastodynamics equation. Then, we will also introduce quantites from the elastostatic case as this will be utilized in the estimates. Given jump relations from [32] and classical layer potential estimates from [26], we are able to obtain estimates for layer potentials in elastodynamics.

We begin with some notation following the style introduced in [12] and [35]. As above, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded connected Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We let $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and $\Omega^+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \Omega$. We suppose that there is an $0 < R < +\infty$ such that the open



FIGURE 4. Normalized errors $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}/\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (left) and $\|\cdot\|_V/\|u\|_V$ (right) for the 2D example for $k = 64$.



FIGURE 5. Normalized errors $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}/\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (left) and $\|\cdot\|_V/\|u\|_V$ (right) for the 2D example for $k = 64$.



FIGURE 6. Elastic displacement in the 2D experiment for $k = 128$ computed with the msPG method with $m = 2$ and $H = 2^{-7}$; amplified by a factor 900.

ball of radius R centered at the origin $B_R = B_R(0)$, is such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset B_R$ and set $\Omega_R = B_R \backslash \Gamma$. We denote the interior and exterior trace operators of Γ as $\gamma_0^{int}, \gamma_0^{ext}$, respectively. For sufficiently smooth u we define the interior and exterior traction conormal derivatives as

$$\gamma_1^{int} = \gamma_0^{int}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right), \gamma_1^{ext} = \gamma_0^{ext}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right), \tag{4.1}$$

where the traction derivative is given by (2.4) with normal vector pointing outward from Ω. We write the standard jump operators as $[u] = \gamma_0^{ext}u - \gamma_0^{int}u$ and $[\partial_\nu u] = \gamma_1^{ext}u - \gamma_1^{int}u$. Finally, we suppose the convention [35, Convention 1.2] that $k \geq k_0 > 0$ for some fixed k_0. As seen in Section 2.2, k_0 is related to the Korn's second inequality constant C_{Korn}, and depends only on the domain and material parameters, but not on k.

We now define the Green's function, or in this setting often referred to as the Kupradze matrix, corresponding to (2.2a). The Green's function is a the fundamental solution to the equation

$$-\text{div}\left(\sigma\left(G_k(x,y)\right)\right) - k^2 G_k(x,y) = \delta(x-y) \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{4.2}$$

or expanded out into the Lamé equations form

$$-\mu\Delta G_k(x,y) - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla(\text{div}(G_k(x,y))) - k^2 G_k(x,y) = \delta(x-y) \text{ in } \Omega \tag{4.3}$$

for the Dirac distribution δ.

Remark 4.1. There are many ways to obtain the Green's function of the above system. For $d = 2, 3$, the Green's function can be obtained as in [27] via Hörmander's method of parametrics and derived via Radon transforms in [41]. For a complete treatment of this subject we refer the readers to [28, 29].

Letting $k_1^2 = k^2(\lambda+2\mu)^{-1}$, $k_2^2 = k^2\mu^{-1}$, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, we write the Green's function as

$$(G_k(x))_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu}\left(\delta_{ij}\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_2 r}}{r} + \frac{1}{k_2^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left(\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_2 r}}{r} - \frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_1 r}}{r}\right)\right), \tag{4.4a}$$

$$(G_k^E(x))_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu}\left(\frac{1}{k_2^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left(\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_2 r}}{r} - \frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_1 r}}{r}\right)\right), \tag{4.4b}$$

$$(G_k^H(x))_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu}\left(\delta_{ij}\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_2 r}}{r}\right), \tag{4.4c}$$

where $r = |x|$, is the standard Euclidean distance in spherical coordinates.

Remark 4.2. Note that a two-dimensional representation for the elastodynamics Green's function exists. Indeed, from [27], we have

$$(G_k(x))_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\mu}\left(\delta_{ij}H_0^{(1)}(k_2 r) + \frac{1}{k_2^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left(H_0^{(1)}(k_2 r) - H_0^{(1)}(k_1 r)\right)\right),$$

where $H_0^{(1)}$ are zero order Hankel functions of the first kind. We believe that similar to [34], the following estimates hold for the two-dimensional, but leave this to future investigations due to the extra technical difficulties in handling Hankel functions as opposed to merely exponential functions of the form $\exp(\mathbf{i}kr)/r$.

The Newton potential for $f \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$ with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^3$, is given by

$$(N_k(f))(x) = \int_\Omega G_k(x,y)f(y)dy, \text{ for } x \in \Omega. \tag{4.5}$$

Here we use the notation to mean $(N_k(f))_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_\Omega (G_k)_{ij} f_j dy, i = 1,2,3$, and similarly for the operators defined below. Define the potential operators as in [26] and [35] for $\varphi \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$ and $x \in \Omega$ as

$$\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)(x) := \int_{\partial\Omega} G_k(x,y)\varphi(y)ds_y, \quad \widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)(x) := \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu_y} G_k(x,y)\varphi(y)ds_y, \tag{4.6}$$

here ∂_{ν_y}, to denote the traction conormal, (2.4), with respect to y.

We then have a representation formula for (2.2) in the interior of the domain given by (2.10) in Section 2.2. This is the so-called Somigliana's formula (Green's identity in matrix form) [27, §1.6.2, p. 25], which we briefly describe here. Denote the operator corresponding to elastodynamics as $\mathcal{L}_k = -\mu\Delta - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div} - k^2$, and its formal adjoint $\mathcal{L}_k^*$. ¿From the Green's identity we have for u a solution to (2.2) for $f = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega \left(G_k(x,y)\mathcal{L}_k u(y) - \mathcal{L}_k^* G_k(x,y)u(y)\right) dy \\ &\quad = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(G_k(x,y)\partial_{\nu_y} u(y) - \partial_{\nu_y} G_k(x,y)u(y)\right) ds_y.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the solution representation (2.10) as

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(G_k(x,y)\partial_{\nu_y} u(y) - \partial_{\nu_y} G_k(x,y)u(y)\right) ds_y,\ x \in \Omega.$$

Finally, (2.2) has a single layer and double layer potential representation of the form

$$u(x) = \widetilde{V}_k(\sigma(u)\cdot\nu)(x) - \widetilde{K}_k(u)(x),\ x \in \Omega, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\sigma(u)\cdot\nu$ is defined via (2.4). We write the above potential restricted to the boundary as

$$V_k(\varphi)(x) := \gamma_0^{int}\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)(x) = \gamma_0^{ext}\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega,$$

without the tilde $\widetilde{}$. Turning our attention to the double layer, recall, we have the definition of the double layer potential on the boundary

$$K_k(\varphi)(x) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_0^{int}\widetilde{K}_k + \gamma_0^{ext}\widetilde{K}_k\right)(\varphi)(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega. \tag{4.8}$$

These relations can be found in [27, §1.6.2, p. 24] for the elastodynamics case, and in general in [32].

In addition to the elastodynamics properties we will also need the following elastostatic quantities, this is merely (2.2a) with $k = 0$. We introduce the corresponding Green's functions and potentials. From [12], we have that

$$(G_0(x))_{ij} = \frac{A}{4\pi}\frac{\delta_{ij}}{r} + \frac{B}{4\pi}\frac{x_i x_j}{r^3}, \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\right),\ B = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\right).$$

The Newton potential for the elastostatic equations is defined for $f \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$ with compact support

$$(N_0(f))(x) = \int_\Omega G_0(x,y)f(y)dy, \text{ for } x \in \Omega. \tag{4.10}$$

We define the elastostatic potentials for $\varphi \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$ and $x \in \Omega$ as

$$\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi)(x) := \int_{\partial\Omega} G_0(x,y)\varphi(y)ds_y, \quad \widetilde{K}_0(\varphi)(x) := \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu_y} G_0(x,y)\varphi(y)ds_y. \tag{4.11}$$

4.1. **Jump Relations.** It is known that the layer potentials satisfy certain jump conditions across the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Indeed, we have the following relations.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded connected Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have the following jump relations for single and double layer potentials $\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)$, and $\widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)$, respectively, given by* (4.6) *for $k > 0$, and $\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi)$, and $\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi)$, given by* (4.11) *for $k = 0$,*

$$\left[\gamma_0 \widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)\right] = 0,\ \left[\gamma_1 \widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)\right] = -\varphi,\ \varphi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega). \tag{4.12a}$$

$$\left[\gamma_0 \widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)\right] = \varphi,\ \left[\gamma_1 \widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)\right] = 0,\ \varphi \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega). \tag{4.12b}$$

Proof. For the derivation of the jump relations and the proofs for when $k = 0$, see e.g. [12]. For $k > 0$, we refer the reader to [27, Chapter 1.5] for the specific derivation in the elastodynamics case. For general strongly elliptic equations with C^∞ coefficients, the above jump relations are generally satisfied [10, Lemma 4.1, p. 620]. These results are also noted by the author in [10], to hold for systems of equations with smooth coefficients. Indeed, by taking, $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, b_j = 0$, and $c = -k^2$, in [10, p. 614, eq. 2.2,], we arrive at the Helmholtz equations. As these results hold for systems with C^∞ coefficients merely satisfying the Gårding inequality (2.8), we see that the jump relations (4.12a) and (4.12b), hold due to these results. □

Remark 4.4. For a general demonstration we refer the reader to [32, Theorem 6.11].

4.2. **Layer Potential Estimates.** We first recall the following estimates of the elastostatic potentials.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded connected Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have the following estimates for $\varphi \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$,*

$$\left\|\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi)\right\|_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)} \leq C \left\|\varphi\right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{4.13a}$$

$$\left\|\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi)\right\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} \leq C \left\|\varphi\right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.13b}$$

Proof. See Lemma 2.3, (a) and (c) of [26]. This is an underestimate, as more terms may be added to the left hand sides of these inequalities, but the above estimates will suffice. □

Remark 4.6. We note that a greater class of estimates exist in the case of Helmholtz, cf. Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in [35]. However, we leave the extension to similar estimates to further investigation as the above will be sufficient.

Using the Newton potential estimates in Section 5, we have the estimate of the elastodynamic potentials.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded connected Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have the following estimates for $\varphi \in (L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$,*

$$\left\|\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\,(1+k)\left\|\varphi\right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.14a}$$

Proof. We proceed by an approach similar to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 of [35]. Taking $\varphi \in (H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))^3$, dense in $(L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$, as given. We write $u_k = \widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)$, and $u_0 = \widetilde{V}_0(\varphi)$.

Taking χ to be a smooth cut-off function with $\mathrm{supp}(\chi) \subset B_{2R}$ and $\chi = 1$ on B_R, then we write $\tilde{u} = u_k - \chi u_0$. Applying the elastodynamic operator (2.2a) to $\tilde{u}$ we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mu\Delta\tilde{u} - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla(\mathrm{div}(\tilde{u})) - k^2\tilde{u} \\
&\quad = \mu\Delta\chi u_0 + 2\mu\nabla\chi\nabla u_0 + \mu\Delta u_0\chi + k^2 u_0\chi \\
&\qquad + (\lambda+\mu)(\nabla(\nabla\chi\cdot u_0) + \mathrm{div}(u_0)\nabla\chi + \nabla\mathrm{div}(u_0)\chi) \\
&\quad = \mu\Delta\chi u_0 + 2\mu\nabla\chi\nabla u_0 + k^2 u_0\chi + (\lambda+\mu)(\nabla(\nabla\chi\cdot u_0) + \mathrm{div}(u_0)\nabla\chi).
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$\tilde{f} := \mu\Delta\chi u_0 + 2\mu\nabla\chi\nabla u_0 + k^2 u_0\chi + (\lambda+\mu)(\nabla(\nabla\chi\cdot u_0) + \mathrm{div}(u_0)\nabla\chi),$$

and noting that since $\chi = 1$ in B_R, for $x \in B_R$, we have $\tilde{f} = k^2 u_0$. Note that by the jump relations (4.12a) in Lemma 4.3, we have the jump conditions that $[\tilde{u}] = 0$ and $[\sigma(\tilde{u})\cdot\nu] = 0$ in appropriate spaces.

Hence, $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$-\mu\Delta\tilde{u} - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla(\mathrm{div}(\tilde{u})) - k^2\tilde{u} = \tilde{f} \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{4.15a}$$

$$[\tilde{u}] = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (\text{in } H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)), \tag{4.15b}$$

$$[\sigma(\tilde{u})\cdot\nu] = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (\text{in } H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)), \tag{4.15c}$$

and $\tilde{u}$ obeys the elastic radiation condition at infinity (Sommerfeld-Kupradze conditions) to satisfy uniqueness [2, Chapter 1, p. 10] and [29, Chapter 3, p. 153–154]. In addition, $\tilde{f}$ has compact support. More precisely, for a homogeneous problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$, these elastic radiation conditions are given by letting $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_p + \tilde{u}_s$, where

$$\Delta\tilde{u}_p + \left(\frac{k^2}{\lambda+2\mu}\right)\tilde{u}_p = 0, \nabla\times\tilde{u}_p = 0, \tag{4.16a}$$

$$\Delta\tilde{u}_s + \left(\frac{k^2}{\mu}\right)\tilde{u}_s = 0, \nabla\cdot\tilde{u}_s = 0, \tag{4.16b}$$

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_p}{\partial r} - \mathbf{i}\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{\lambda+2\mu}}\right)\tilde{u}_p = \mathcal{O}(1/r) \quad \text{for } r\to\infty, \tag{4.16c}$$

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{u}_s}{\partial r} - \mathbf{i}\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{\mu}}\right)\tilde{u}_s = \mathcal{O}(1/r) \quad \text{for } r\to\infty. \tag{4.16d}$$

We write an explicit solution to (4.15) by using the Newton potential (4.5) as

$$\tilde{u} = u_k - \chi u_0 = N_k(\tilde{f}) \text{ or } \widetilde{V}_k(\varphi) = \chi\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi) + N_k(\tilde{f}).$$

In particular, for $x\in\Omega$, $\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi) = \widetilde{V}_0(\varphi) + k^2 N_k(\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi))$, thus using Theorem 5.2, estimate (5.11), and subsequently (4.13a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{V}_k(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le \left\|\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|k^2 N_k(\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi))\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\le C\,(1+k)\left\|\widetilde{V}_0(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C\,(1+k)\,\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain our estimate. □

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded connected Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have the following estimate for $\varphi\in(L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$,*

$$\left\|\widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C(1+k)\,\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\,. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Again, we proceed by an approach similar Theorem 4.2, [35] and rely on the same machinery as in Lemma 4.7. Taking $\varphi\in(H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))^3$, dense in $(L^2(\partial\Omega))^3$, as given. We write $u_k = \widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)$, and $u_0 = \widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)$. Taking χ to be a smooth cut-off

function with $\operatorname{supp}(\chi) \subset B_{2R}$ and $\chi = 1$ on B_R, then we write $\tilde{u} = u_k - \chi u_0$. Denoting once again

$$\tilde{f} := \mu\Delta\chi u_0 + 2\mu\nabla\chi\nabla u_0 + k^2 u_0\chi + (\lambda+\mu)(\nabla(\nabla\chi\cdot u_0) + \operatorname{div}(u_0)\nabla\chi),$$

and noting that since $\chi = 1$ in B_R, for $x \in B_R$, we have $\tilde{f} = k^2 u_0$. By the jump relations (4.12b) in Lemma 4.3, we have the jump conditions that $[\tilde{u}] = 0$ and $[\sigma(\tilde{u})\cdot\nu] = 0$ in appropriate spaces. Clearly, $\tilde{u}$ satisfies (4.15).

Again write an explicit solution to (4.15) by using the Newton potential (4.5) as

$$\tilde{u} = u_k - \chi u_0 = N_k(\tilde{f}) \text{ or } \widetilde{K}_k(\varphi) = \chi\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi) + N_k(\tilde{f}).$$

In particular, for $x \in \Omega$, $\widetilde{K}_k(\varphi) = \widetilde{K}_0(\varphi) + k^2 N_k(\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi))$, thus using Theorem 5.2, estimate (5.11), and subsequently (4.13b) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\widetilde{K}_k(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le \left\|\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|k^2 N_k(\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi))\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\le C\,(1+k)\left\|\widetilde{K}_0(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C\,(1+k)\,\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain our estimate. □

5. Newton Potential Estimates

In this section, we will obtain a representation for the Green's function (4.4) that is of similar structure to the elastostatic Green's function (4.9). This result is due primarily to Kupradze et. al. [29]. This will allow us to see the Newton potential (4.5) has merely a weak singularity of type $1/r$, and the apparent $1/r^3$ singularity from the Green's function is merely an artifact of poor representation. Once this is established, we utilize Fourier techniques as in [34], to calculate the k-bounds on N_k. This is achieved through the technical calculations in Lemma 5.4. The heart of the calculation relies on the fact that the angular components of the Green's function may be written as a sum of spherical harmonics. Then, utilizing a formula for spherical Fouier transforms, estimates may be obtained.

5.1. Green's Function Representation.

We have the following representation and estimate for the Green's function (Kupradze matrix) (4.4).

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(G_k(x))_{ij}$, $i,j = 1,2,3$, be given by (4.4a), then there exists a $C(\mu,\lambda) > 0$, independent of k such that*

$$|(G_k(x))_{ij}| \le \frac{C(\mu,\lambda)}{|x|}, \tag{5.1}$$

for $x \neq 0$. Moreover, $(G_k(x))_{ij}$ has the following representation

$$(G_k(x))_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|} I_1(|x|,k) + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^3} I_2(|x|,k), \tag{5.2}$$

where $|I_q(|x|,k)| \le C(\mu,\lambda)$, for $q = 1,2$.

Proof. This proof follows closely the work of [29], Theorem 1.2. We denote the following constants

$$\begin{aligned}k_1^2 = k^2(\lambda+2\mu)^{-1},\ k_2^2 = k^2(\mu)^{-1},\\ \alpha_q = \delta_{2,q}(4\pi\mu)^{-1},\ \beta_q = (-1)^q(4\pi k^2)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

for $q = 1,2$. We have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left(\frac{\exp(\mathbf{i}k_q|x|)}{|x|}\right)\\ &= \frac{\exp(\mathbf{i}k_q|x|)}{|x|}\left[\left(\frac{\delta_{ij}(\mathbf{i}k_q|x|-1))}{|x|^2}\right) + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}\left(\frac{3(1-\mathbf{i}k_q|x|))}{|x|^2} - k_q^2\right)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(G_k(x))_{ij} \\
&\quad = \sum_{q=1}^{2} \left[\delta_{ij} \left(\alpha_q - \beta_q \frac{(1 - \mathbf{i}k_q|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) + \beta_q \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} \left(\frac{3(1 - \mathbf{i}k_q|x|))}{|x|^2} - k_q^2 \right) \right] \frac{\exp(\mathbf{i}k_q|x|)}{|x|} \\
&\quad = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|} I_1(|x|, k) + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^3} I_2(|x|, k),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we denote

(5.3)

$$
I_1(|x|, k) = \left(\alpha_2 \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2|x|) - \frac{(1 - \mathbf{i}k_2|x|)}{4\pi k^2 |x|^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2|x|) + \frac{(1 - \mathbf{i}k_1|x|)}{4\pi k^2 |x|^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1|x|) \right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2(|x|, k) = \Bigg(&- \frac{3(1 - \mathbf{i}k_1|x|))}{4\pi k^2 |x|^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1|x|) + \frac{k_1^2}{4\pi k^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1|x|) \\
&+ \frac{3(1 - \mathbf{i}k_2|x|))}{4\pi k^2 |x|^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2|x|) - \frac{k_2^2}{4\pi k^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2|x|) \Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}
$$

¿From the mean-value theorem applied to the function $\psi(t) = (1 - \mathbf{i}k_q t) \exp(\mathbf{i}k_q t)$ on the interval $[0, |x|]$ we have

$$
|(1 - \mathbf{i}k_q|x|) \exp(\mathbf{i}k_q|x|) - 1| \leq k_q^2 |x|^2. \tag{5.5}
$$

We may estimate $I_1(|x|, k)$ by using (5.5) and adding and subtracting a $\frac{1}{4\pi k^2|x|^2}$ term as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|I_1(|x|, k)| \\
&\quad = \left| \alpha_2 \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2|x|) + \frac{1 - (1 - \mathbf{i}k_2|x|) \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2|x|)}{4\pi k^2 |x|^2} + \frac{(1 - \mathbf{i}k_1|x|) \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1|x|) - 1}{4\pi k^2 |x|^2} \right| \\
&\quad \leq C(\mu, \lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same technique, $|I_2(|x|, k)| \leq C(\mu, \lambda)$, hence we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(G_k(x))_{ij}| &= \left| \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|} I_1(|x|, k) + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^3} I_2(|x|, k) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|x|} \left(\delta_{ij} \, |I_1(|x|, k)| + \frac{|x_i x_j|}{|x|^2} \, |I_2(|x|, k)| \right) \leq \frac{C(\mu, \lambda)}{|x|}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we used the fact that $\frac{|x_i x_j|}{|x|^2} \leq 1$. □

5.2. **Main Newton Potential Estimates.** In this section, we will estimate the Newton potential (4.5). We begin by a cut-off function argument and using Fourier techniques as in [35]. Suppose $\Omega \subset B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$. We extend f to zero when considered outside of Ω into B_R, but do not relabel. We define the cutoff function $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ such that $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset [0, 4R]$

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : 0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1, \quad \eta|_{[0,2R]} = 1, \quad \eta|_{[4R,\infty)} = 0 \tag{5.6a}
$$

$$
|\eta|_{W^{j,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})} \leq C/R^j, j = 1, \ldots, 4. \tag{5.6b}
$$

We set $M(x) := \eta(|x|)$ and define an augmented Newton potential of (4.5) as

$$
v^\eta(x) = \int_{B_R(0)} M(x - y)(G_k(x, y)) f(y) dy, \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{5.7}
$$

where G_k is given by (4.4a) and alternatively written in the more useful representation as (5.2). For functions with compact support, recall the Fourier transform is given by

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\mathbf{i}x\cdot\xi} u(x)dx,\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

and the inverse transform is

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\mathbf{i}x\cdot\xi} \hat{u}(\xi)d\xi,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

For f has support in B_R we write the truncated Newton potential componentwise, using the Einstein summation convention, as $v_i^\eta(x) = (MG_k)_{ij}(f_j(x))$, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Taking the Fourier transform, using the standard convolution identity, we obtain $\hat{v}_i^\eta(\xi) = (2\pi)^{3/2}((\widehat{MG_k})_{ij}\hat{f}_j)(\xi)$, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

For a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, we denote the corresponding multi-index derivatives as ∂^α in the standard way. For the corresponding to the derivatives in the Fourier variable, we denote the function $P_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $P_\alpha(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$. For $|\alpha| \le 2$, we see that using the Plancherel identity that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial^\alpha v_i^\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|P_\alpha \hat{v}_i^\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = (2\pi)^{3/2} \left\| P_\alpha (\widehat{MG_k})_{ij} \hat{f}_j \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\le (2\pi)^{3/2} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3} \left| P_\alpha (\widehat{MG_k})_{ij}(\xi) \right| \left\| \hat{f}_j \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Thus, our estimate relies on the estimation of the supremum over ξ on the last term.

Recall, we may split the Green's function as in (4.4), and write $(\widehat{MG_k})_{ij} = (\widehat{MG_k^E})_{ij} + (\widehat{MG_k^H})_{ij}$. Here G_k^H in (4.4c), is the same Green's function as in [34], and can be estimated in the same way. We briefly derive some of those estimates here. We begin by computing the Fourier transform of $(MG_k^H)_{ij}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{MG_k^H})_{ij}(\xi) &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\pi\mu(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(x) \left(\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_2|x|}}{|x|} \right) e^{-\mathbf{i}x\cdot\xi} dx \\ &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\pi\mu(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{e^{\mathbf{i}k_2 r}}{r} \right) r^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} \right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

here $\zeta(\theta, \varphi)$ is the angular component of $x \cdot \xi = r\zeta \cdot \xi$. Using a critical identity from [37, Section 3.2.4], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} Y_\ell^m(\theta,\varphi) dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} = (-1)^\ell 4\pi j_\ell(r|\xi|) Y_\ell^m\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right), \tag{5.9}$$

where $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi)$, are the standard spherical harmonics and $j_\ell(r|\xi|)$ are the spherical Bessel functions of order ℓ, where $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, $m = -\ell, -\ell+1, \ldots, \ell-1, \ell,$. For $m = \ell = 0$, we have $Y_0^0 = \text{const} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}$, and $j_0(r|\xi|) = \frac{\sin(r|\xi|)}{r|\xi|}$. Using this formula and writing $s = |\xi| \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(\widehat{MG_k^H})_{ij}(\xi) = 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \right) \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\mu(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) e^{ik_2 r} \frac{\sin(rs)}{s} dr.$$

Thus, we must estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{3/2} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3} \left| P_\alpha(\xi)(\widehat{MG_k^H})_{ij}(\xi) \right| &\le (2\pi)^{3/2} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3} \left| |\xi|^{|\alpha|} (\widehat{MG_k^H})_{ij}(|\xi|) \right| \\ &\le \sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\mu} \int_0^\infty s^{|\alpha|-1} \eta(r) e^{\mathbf{i}k_2 r} \sin(rs) dr. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates are well studied in [34, Lemma 3.7] and we summarize them here

$$\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\mu}\int_0^\infty s^{|\alpha|-1}\eta(r)e^{\mathrm{i}k_2 r}\sin(rs)dr \leq \begin{cases} \frac{CR}{k} & |\alpha|=0, \\ CR & |\alpha|=1, \\ C(1+kR) & |\alpha|=2. \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

We will show in more detail in Lemma 5.4, how these estimates are derived and how they hold also in the elastodynamic case. We now state and briefly prove our main estimate, which relies on the technical Lemma 5.4.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$, for the Newton potential (4.5) we have the estimate*

$$k^{-1}\|N_k(f)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|N_k(f)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + k\|N_k(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{5.11}$$

where $C>0$ is independent of k and depends only on R,μ,λ.

Proof. We proceed as in [34]. We write the cut-off of the Newton potential again as

$$v^\eta(x) = \int_{B_R(0)} M(x-y)(G_k(x,y))f(y)dy, \text{ for } x\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{5.12}$$

Then, using the Plancherel identity (5.8), and technical Lemma 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_\alpha v_i^\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \|P_\alpha(\xi)\hat{v}_i^\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = (2\pi)^{3/2}\left\|P_\alpha\widehat{(MG_k)}_{ij}\hat{f}_j\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{3/2}\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3}\left|P_\alpha(\xi)\widehat{(MG_k)}_{ij}(\xi)\right|\left\|\hat{f}_j\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq Ck^{|\alpha|-1}\left\|\hat{f}_j\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the Fourier transform back and using the Plancherel property gives the estimate. □

Remark 5.3. It is also possible to separate the Newton potential further via frequency cut-off methods and obtain a further decomposition of $N_k = N_k^{H^2} + N_k^A$, where $N_k^{H^2}$ is H^2 and N_k^A is analytic. This is discussed in the Helmholtz case in [35]. We will not need these extra bounds, and just note that such a further decomposition appears to be possible.

We now state and prove our main technical lemma used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(G_k)_{ij}$ be given by (5.2) and M a cutoff function as above. Then, there exists a $C>0$ depending only on R,μ,λ and not on k, so that for $|\alpha|=0,1,2$,*

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3}\left|P^\alpha(\xi)\widehat{(MG_k)}_{ij}(\xi)\right| \leq Ck^{|\alpha|-1}, \tag{5.13}$$

for $i,j=1,2,3$.

Proof. Here we estimate the Fourier transform of the truncated kernel $(MG_k)_{ij}$. Since, as we saw in (5.10), this will be primarily radial in the Fourier variable, we

have with $s = |\xi|$ and $|\alpha| = 1, 2, 3$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&s^{|\alpha|}\widehat{(MG_k)}_{ij}(\xi) \\
&\quad = \frac{s^{|\alpha|}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(x) \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x|} I_1(|x|,k) + \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^3} I_2(|x|,k), \right) e^{-\mathbf{i}x\cdot\xi} dx \\
&\quad = \frac{s^{|\alpha|}\delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k) r \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} \right) dr \\
&\qquad + \frac{s^{|\alpha|}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) r \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} \right) dr \\
&\quad = s^{|\alpha|}\Pi_1 + s^{|\alpha|}\Pi_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}
$$

Π_1 estimates:

We first estimate the term, Π_1, without angular components in the Green's function. These estimates are obtained in fundamentally the same was as (5.10), for the Helmholtz case. Using the formula (5.9), with $\ell = m = 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{|\alpha|}\Pi_1 &= \frac{s^{|\alpha|}\delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k) r \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} \right) dr \\
&= 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \right) \frac{s^{|\alpha|}\delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k) r \frac{\sin(rs)}{rs} dr.
\end{aligned}
$$

Case: $|\alpha| = 0$.

We note that (see (5.3))

$$
r I_1(r,k) = r\alpha_2 \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) - \frac{(1-\mathbf{i}k_2 r)}{4\pi k^2 r} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) + \frac{(1-\mathbf{i}k_1 r)}{4\pi k^2 r} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r). \tag{5.15}
$$

Using the identity (5.15) and letting $C_\pi = 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \right)$, we have

(5.16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1(s) &= \frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k) r \frac{\sin(rs)}{rs} dr \\
&= \frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(r\alpha_2 \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) \right) \frac{\sin(rs)}{rs} dr \\
&+ \frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) \frac{1}{4\pi k^2 r} \big((\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - 1) + (1 - \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)) \big) \frac{\sin(rs)}{rs} dr \\
&+ \frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{\mathbf{i}k_2}{4\pi k^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) - \frac{\mathbf{i}k_1}{4\pi k^2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) \right) \frac{\sin(rs)}{rs} dr.
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate each of the terms above, starting with integrating by parts on the first term of (5.16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) \frac{\sin(rs)}{s} dr \right| \\
&\quad = \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{k_2} \exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) \left(\eta'(r) \frac{\sin(rs)}{s} + \eta(r)\cos(rs) \right) dr \right| \leq \frac{C}{k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have using the mean-value theorem bound $|\exp(\mathbf{i}k_q r)-1| \leq k_q r, q=1,2$, on the second term

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r)\frac{1}{4\pi k^2 r}\left((\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)-1)+(1-\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r))\right)\frac{\sin(rs)}{rs}dr\right| \leq \int_0^\infty \eta(r)\frac{1}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(|\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)-1|+|1-\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)|\right)dr \leq \frac{C}{k}.$$

For the last term we simply see that all terms are bounded and we obtain

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r)\left(\frac{ik_2}{2\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)-\frac{ik_1}{2\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right)\frac{\sin(rs)}{rs}dr\right| \leq \frac{C}{k}.$$

Thus, we obtain the bound $|\Pi_1(s)| \leq C/k$

Case: $|\alpha|=1$.

Using the fact that $|I_1(r,k)| \leq C$, from Proposition 5.1, we obtain

$$|s\Pi_1(s)| = \left|\frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k)\sin(rs)dr\right| \leq C.$$

Case: $|\alpha|=2$.

Integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|s^2\Pi_1(s)\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k) s\sin(rs)dr\right| = \left|\frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_1(r,k)\partial_r\cos(rs)dr\right| \\
&= \frac{C_\pi \delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left|\int_0^\infty (\eta'(r)I_1(r,k)+\eta(r)\partial_r I_1(r,k))\cos(rs)dr + \eta(r)I_1(r,k)\cos(rs)\Big|_0^\infty\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r I_1(r,k) &= \partial_r\left(\alpha_2\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)-\frac{(1-\mathbf{i}k_2 r)}{4\pi k^2 r^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)+\frac{(1-\mathbf{i}k_1 r)}{4\pi k^2 r^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right) \\
&= \alpha_2\mathbf{i}k_2\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)+\left(-\frac{k_2^2 r^2}{2}+(1-\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right)\frac{\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)}{8\pi k^2 r^3} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{k_1^2 r^2}{2}-(1-\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right)\frac{\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)}{8\pi k^2 r^3}, \qquad (5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

and using the following bound via the mean-value theorem

$$\left|\left(-\frac{k_q^2 r^2}{2}+(1-ik_q r)\right)\exp(\mathbf{i}k_q r)-1\right| \leq \frac{k_q^3 r^3}{2},$$

$q=1,2$, we obtain, by adding and subtracting $\frac{1}{\pi k^2 r^3}$, that $|\partial_r I_1(r,k)| \leq kC$. Hence, from the L'Hôpital's rule for the boundary term we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|s^2\Pi_1(s)\right| &\leq \frac{C_\pi\delta_{ij}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left(\int_0^\infty |(\eta'(r)I_1(r,k)+\eta(r)\partial_r I_1(r,k))\cos(rs)|\,dr + C(\mu,\lambda)\right) \\
&\leq kC.
\end{aligned}$$

Π_2 estimates:

To estimate Π_2, we first need the representation for the Fourier transform on the sphere of $\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}$. ¿From identities in Appendix A, (A.3), we may write $\frac{x_i}{|x|}$ as a sum of spherical harmonics $Y_\ell^m(\theta,\varphi)$. Often, particularly in quantum chemistry,

products of spherical harmonics are written as sums of higher order (in ℓ) spherical harmonics. Thus, $\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}$ is simply a finite sum of products of spherical harmonics.

Indeed, noting the following formula from classical quantum angular momentum calculations

(5.18)
$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\theta,\varphi)Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\theta,\varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\ell,m} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1+1)(2\ell_2+1)(2\ell+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell \\ m_1 & m_2 & m \end{pmatrix} \bar{Y}_\ell^m(\theta,\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Wigner $3j$-symbol [9, 14]. Note above that the Wigner $3j$-symbol are scalars and not matrices. We note that $m = m_1 + m_2$, and ℓ runs from $|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq \ell \leq |\ell_1 + \ell_2|$. Most critically, in all cases the sum is finite. Using (A.3) and (5.18), it is clear

$$\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell,m} Y_\ell^m(\theta,\varphi). \tag{5.19}$$

Remark 5.5. Noting from Appendix A, from representations (A.3), we have sums and products of Y_1^{-1}, Y_1^0, and Y_1^1, when considering $\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}$ as products of $\frac{x_i}{|x|}$. Thus, it is clear that $0 \leq \ell \leq 2$ and $m = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$. Hence, in what follows we may often consider a general ℓ, m, however, we will need to restrict ourselves to the specific cases, particularly when $\ell = 0, 1, 2$.

Thus, using (5.9) and (5.19), we may write

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell,m} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} Y_\ell^m(\theta,\varphi) e^{-\mathbf{i}r\xi\cdot\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} dS_{\zeta(\theta,\varphi)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell,m} (-1)^\ell 4\pi j_\ell(r|\xi|) Y_\ell^m\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to estimate $s^{|\alpha|}\Pi_2$, applying the above into (5.14), it is enough to estimate

$$\frac{(-1)^\ell 4\pi s^{|\alpha|}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} Y_\ell^m\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right) \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) r j_\ell(r|\xi|) dr,$$

to obtain the estimate as all the sums are finite. Note further that $Y_\ell^m\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)$ is bounded on the unit sphere, thus it remains merely to estimate the integral.

Case: $|\alpha| = 0$.

We note the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &rI_2(r,k) \\ &= \frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(1 - \exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right) + \frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) - 1\right) + \frac{k_1^2}{4\pi k^2} r\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) \\ &\quad - \frac{k_2^2}{4\pi k^2} r\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r) + \frac{3\mathbf{i}k_1}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{3\mathbf{i}k_2}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r). \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Using this we obtain, again with $s = |\xi|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) r j_\ell(rs) dr\right| \\
&\leq \left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(1-\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right) + \frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)-1\right)\right) j_\ell(rs) dr\right| \\
&+ \left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{k_1^2}{4\pi k^2} r\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2^2}{4\pi k^2} r\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) j_\ell(rs) dr\right| \\
&+ \left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{3ik_1}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{3ik_2}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) j_\ell(rs) dr\right|
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}
$$

We estimate the first term of (5.21) using the mean-value theorem bound $|\exp(\mathbf{i}k_q r) - 1| \leq k_q r, q = 1, 2$, and the fact that $|j_\ell(z)| \leq C$, from the estimate (B.3), in Appendix B, for $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(1-\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right) + \frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left(\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)-1\right)\right) j_\ell(rs) dr\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \eta(r) \left(\frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left|\left(1-\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r)\right)\right| + \frac{3}{4\pi k^2 r}\left|\left(\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)-1\right)\right|\right) |j_\ell(rs)|\, dr \leq \frac{C}{k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the second term of (5.21) by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{k_1^2}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2^2}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) r j_\ell(rs)\eta(r) dr\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{k_1}{4\pi i k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2}{4\pi i k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) \left((r j_\ell(rs))'\eta(r) + r j_\ell(rs)\eta'(r)\right) dr\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}
$$

We have from [1, eqns. 10.1.19–20] that for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$
\frac{d}{dr}(r j_\ell(rs)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(rs j_{\ell-1}(rs) + j_\ell(rs) - rs j_{\ell+1}(rs)\right). \tag{5.23}
$$

Remark 5.6. Note above we do not consider the case when $\ell = 0$, however, this corresponds to the case when $j_0(rs) = \frac{\sin(rs)}{rs}$. This is fully considered in the estimates for Π_1, as structurally, there is no difference between $I_1(r,k)$ and $I_2(r,k)$.

Thus, using the relation (5.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{k_1}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) \left((r j_\ell(rs))'\eta(r)\right) dr\right| \\
&\leq \left|\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{k_1}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(rs j_{\ell-1}(rs) + j_\ell(rs) - rs j_{\ell+1}(rs)\right)\eta(r)\right) dr\right| \leq \frac{C}{k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the fact that $|z j_\ell(z)| \leq C$ and $|j_\ell(z)| \leq C$, (B.3). Finally, using $|j_\ell(z)| \leq C$, once more we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{k_1}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) \left(r j_\ell(rs)\eta'(r)\right) dr\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \left|\left(\frac{k_1}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2}{4\pi\mathbf{i}k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) \left(r\eta'(r)\right)\right| dr \leq \frac{C}{k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, combining these bounds and (5.22) we obtain

$$
\left|\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{k_1^2}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_1 r) - \frac{k_2^2}{4\pi k^2}\exp(\mathbf{i}k_2 r)\right) r j_l(rs)\eta(r) dr\right| \leq \frac{C}{k}. \tag{5.24}
$$

Finally, the last term of (5.21) can be directly bounded by $\frac{C}{k}$, using the fact that $|j_\ell(z)| \leq C$. Thus, combining the above, we have $|\Pi_2(s)| \leq \frac{C}{k}$.

Case: $|\alpha| = 1$.

This bound, as in the case for Π_1 is not difficult to prove. Indeed, using $z = rs$, $|zj_\ell(z)| \leq C$, from (B.3), and $|I_2(r,k)| \leq C$ from Proposition 5.1, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) rs j_\ell(rs) dr \right| \leq C, \tag{5.25}$$

thus, $s|\Pi_2(s)| \leq C$.

Case: $|\alpha| = 2$.

Letting $|\alpha| = 2$, we must estimate

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) rs^2 j_l(rs) dr \right|. \tag{5.26}$$

For $\ell = 0$, this is trivial after completing the estimation of Π_1, $|\alpha| = 2$ above. For $\ell = 1, 2$, we note the following formulas

$$rs^2 j_1(rs) = \partial_r \left(\mathrm{Si}(rs) - \sin(rs) \right), \tag{5.27}$$

$$rs^2 j_2(rs) = \partial_r \left(\cos(rs) - \frac{3\sin(rs)}{rs} \right), \tag{5.28}$$

where $\mathrm{Si}(x) = \int_0^x \sin(t)/t dt$ is the trigonometric sine integral. We integrate by parts for $\ell = 1$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) rs^2 j_1(rs) dr \right| = \left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) \partial_r \left(\mathrm{Si}(rs) - \sin(rs) \right) dr \right| \\ &= \left| - \int_0^\infty \partial_r (\eta(r) I_2(r,k)) \left(\mathrm{Si}(rs) - \sin(rs) \right) dr + \eta(r) I_2(r,k) \left(\mathrm{Si}(rs) - \sin(rs) \right) \Big|_0^\infty \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We note that as in the calculation (5.17), we have easily that

$$|\partial_r (I_2(r,k))| \leq kC.$$

Noting that $\mathrm{Si}(0) - \sin(0) = 0$, $|I_2(r,k)| \leq C$, and $|\left(\mathrm{Si}(rs) - \sin(rs) \right)| \leq C$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) rs^2 j_1(rs) dr \right| \leq \int_0^\infty |\partial_r (\eta(r) I_2(r,k)) \left(\mathrm{Si}(rs) - \sin(rs) \right)| dr \leq Ck.$$

Now, we integrate by parts for $\ell = 2$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) rs^2 j_2(rs) dr \right| = \left| \int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) \partial_r \left(\cos(rs) - \frac{3\sin(rs)}{rs} \right) dr \right| \\ &= \Bigg| - \int_0^\infty \partial_r (\eta(r) I_2(r,k)) \left(\cos(rs) - \frac{3\sin(rs)}{rs} \right) dr \\ &\qquad + \eta(r) I_2(r,k) \left(\cos(rs) - \frac{3\sin(rs)}{rs} \right) \Bigg|_0^\infty \Bigg|. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\cos(0) - 3\mathrm{sinc}(0) = -2$, $|I_2(r,k)| \le C$, and $|\left(\cos(rs) - \frac{3\sin(rs)}{rs}\right)| \le 2$, we obtain

$$\left|\int_0^\infty \eta(r) I_2(r,k) r s^2 j_2(rs) dr\right| \\ \le \int_0^\infty \left|\partial_r(\eta(r) I_2(r,k))\left(\cos(rs) - \frac{3\sin(rs)}{rs}\right)\right| dr + C \le Ck.$$

Thus, $s^2|\Pi_2(s)| \le Ck$, and we obtain our desired estimates. □

Appendix A. Spherical Harmonics

Recall that for spherical coordinates

$$x = r\sin\theta\cos\varphi$$
$$y = r\sin\theta\sin\varphi$$
$$z = r\cos\theta$$

We have the following derivative relations:

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{-1/2}2x = \frac{x}{r}, \tag{A.1}$$

and similarly for the other variables. Thus, we have the general formula

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = \frac{x_i}{r} := \Psi_i(\theta,\varphi), \tag{A.2}$$

for $i = 1,2,3$, corresponding to x, y, z repsectively. It is well known these may be written in terms of the Spherical Harmonics $Y_\ell^m(\theta,\varphi)$ as

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r} = \Psi_1(\theta,\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}\left(Y_1^{-1}(\theta,\varphi) - Y_1^1(\theta,\varphi)\right) \tag{A.3a}$$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{y}{r} = \Psi_2(\theta,\varphi) = \mathbf{i}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}\left(Y_1^{-1}(\theta,\varphi) + Y_1^1(\theta,\varphi)\right) \tag{A.3b}$$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial z} = \frac{z}{r} = \Psi_3(\theta,\varphi) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}}Y_1^0(\theta,\varphi). \tag{A.3c}$$

Appendix B. Spherical Bessel Functions

Here, $j_\ell(z)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is the spherical Bessel function of order ℓ and related to the Bessel function $J_{n+1/2}$ via the relation.

$$j_\ell(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}}J_{\ell+1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n!\Gamma(n+\ell+3/2)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\ell}, \tag{B.1}$$

where $z = rs$, in our application. Here $J_{\ell+1/2}$ is the fractional order Bessel function given by

$$J_{\ell+1/2}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n!\Gamma(n+\ell+3/2)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\ell+1/2},$$

from [1, eq. 9.1.10].

Here we will have two cases, $z > 1$ and $z \in [0,1]$. Using an expression similar to [34], we note that from [1, eq. 10.1.8], the the spherical Bessel function can be written as

$$j_\ell(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left(P(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z)\sin(z-\frac{1}{2}\ell\pi) + Q(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z)\cos(z-\frac{1}{2}\ell\pi)\right),$$

where $P(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z), Q(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z)$ have the series representation

$$P(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lceil \frac{1}{2}\ell \rceil} (\ell+\frac{1}{2},2n)(2z)^{-2n},$$
$$Q(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lceil \frac{1}{2}(\ell-1) \rceil} (-1)^n(\ell+\frac{1}{2},2n+1)(2z)^{-2n-1},$$

where $(\ell+\frac{1}{2},n) = \frac{(\ell+n)!}{n!\Gamma(\ell-n+1)}$. Note that in our applications ℓ is small, $\ell = 0,1,2$, so we do not keep careful track of the above coefficients in ℓ. We see by looking at the leading terms in the $z>1$ regime, we see that

$$\left| P(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z) \right| \leq C\,, \ \left| Q(\ell+\frac{1}{2},z) \right| \leq \frac{C}{z}.$$

Thus, for $z>1$,

$$|j_\ell(z)| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \text{ and } |zj_\ell(z)| \leq C, \text{ for } z>1. \tag{B.2}$$

For $z \in [0,1]$, it is clear from (B.1), that the leading term goes as

$$j_\ell(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\ell+3/2)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\ell + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\ell+2},$$

thus, combining (B.2) with the above gives

$$|j_\ell(z)| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{z+1}\right) \text{ and } |zj_\ell(z)| \leq C, \text{ for } \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{B.3}$$

Appendix C. References

References

1. Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55, Washington, D.C., 1964. MR 0167642
2. Habib Ammari, Elie Bretin, Josselin Garnier, Hyeonbae Kang, Hyundae Lee, and Abdul Wahab, *Mathematical methods in elasticity imaging*, Princeton University Press, 2015.
3. Ivo M. Babuska and Stefan A. Sauter, *Is the pollution effect of the fem avoidable for the Helmholtz equation considering high wave numbers?*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **34** (1997), no. 6, 2392–2423.
4. Timo Betcke, Simon N. Chandler-Wilde, Ivan G. Graham, Stephen Langdon, and Marko Lindner, *Condition number estimates for combined potential integral operators in acoustics and their boundary element discretisation*, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations **27** (2011), no. 1, 31–69.
5. D. Brown, D. Gallistl, and D. Peterseim, *Multiscale Petrov-Galerkin method for high-frequency heterogeneous Helmholtz equations*, Meshfree Methods for Partial Differential Equations VII (Michael Griebel and Marc Alexander Schweitzer, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, 2016, Accepted for publication. Also available as arXiv preprint 1511.09244.
6. D. Brown and D. Peterseim, *A multiscale method for porous microstructures*, SIAM MMS (2016), Accepted for publication. Also available as arXiv preprint 1411.1944.
7. Simon N. Chandler-Wilde, Ivan G. Graham, Stephen Langdon, and Euan A. Spence, *Numerical-asymptotic boundary integral methods in high-frequency acoustic scattering*, Acta Numerica **21** (2012), 89–305.
8. Simon Neil Chandler-Wilde, Ivan G. Graham, Stephen Langdon, and Marko Lindner, *Condition number estimates for combined potential boundary integral operators in acoustic scattering*, Journal of Integral Equations and Applications **21** (2009), no. 2, 229–279.
9. Edward Uhler Condon and George Hiram Shortley, *The theory of atomic spectra*, Cambridge University Press, 1951.
10. Martin Costabel, *Boundary integral operators on Lipschitz domains: Elementary results*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **19** (1988), no. 3, 613–626.

11. Peter Cummings and Xiaobing Feng, *Sharp regularity coefficient estimates for complex-valued acoustic and elastic Helmholtz equations*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences **16** (2006), no. 01, 139–160.
12. B. E. J. Dahlberg, C. E. Kenig, and G. C. Verchota, *Boundary value problems for the systems of elastostatics in Lipschitz domains*, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), no. 3, 795–818.
13. D. A. Di Pietro and A. Ern, *Mathematical aspects of discontinuous Galerkin methods*, Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin), vol. 69, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. MR 2882148
14. Alan R. Edmonds, *Angular momentum in quantum mechanics*, Investigations in physics, vol. 4, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1957.
15. S. Esterhazy and J.M. Melenk, *On stability of discretizations of the Helmholtz equation*, Numerical Analysis of Multiscale Problems (Ivan G. Graham, Thomas Y. Hou, Omar Lakkis, and Robert Scheichl, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 83, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 285–324 (English).
16. Xiaobing Feng and Haijun Wu, *Discontinuous Galerkin methods for the Helmholtz equation with large wave number*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **47** (2009), no. 4, 2872–2896.
17. ———, *hp-discontinuous Galerkin methods for the Helmholtz equation with large wave number*, Mathematics of Computation **80** (2011), no. 276, 1997–2024.
18. D. Gallistl and D. Peterseim, *Stable multiscale Petrov-Galerkin finite element method for high frequency acoustic scattering*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. **295** (2015), 1–17.
19. P. Henning, A. Målqvist, and D. Peterseim, *Two-level discretization techniques for ground state computations of Bose-Einstein condensates*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **52** (2014), no. 4, 1525–1550.
20. P. Henning, P. Morgenstern, and D. Peterseim, *Multiscale partition of unity*, Meshfree Methods for Partial Differential Equations VII (M. Griebel and M. A. Schweitzer, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 100, Springer, 2014.
21. Patrick Henning, Axel Målqvist, and Daniel Peterseim, *A localized orthogonal decomposition method for semi-linear elliptic problems*, ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **48** (2014), no. 5, 1331–1349. MR 3264356
22. Patrick Henning and Daniel Peterseim, *Oversampling for the Multiscale Finite Element Method*, Multiscale Model. Simul. **11** (2013), no. 4, 1149–1175. MR 3123820
23. U. Hetmaniuk, *Fictitious domain decomposition methods for a class of partially axisymmetric problems: Application to the scattering of acoustic waves.*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Colorado, 2002.
24. ———, *Stability estimates for a class of Helmholtz problems*, Commun. Math. Sci. **5** (2007), no. 3, 665–678. MR 2352336 (2008m:35050)
25. George C. Hsiao, Ralph E. Kleinman, and Gary F. Roach, *Weak solutions of fluid–solid interaction problems*, Mathematische Nachrichten **218** (2000), no. 1, 139–163.
26. Carlos E. Kenig, *Boundary value problems of linear elastostatics and hydrostatics on Lipschitz domains*, Goulaouic-Meyer-Schwartz seminar, 1983–1984, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1984, pp. Exp. No. 21, 13. MR 811664
27. Michihiro Kitahara, *Boundary integral equation methods in eigenvalue problems of elastodynamics and thin plates*, Studies in Applied Mechanics, vol. 10, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. MR 798471
28. V. D. Kupradze, *Potential methods in the theory of elasticity*, Translated from the Russian by H. Gutfreund. Translation edited by I. Meroz, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem; Daniel Davey & Co., Inc., New York, 1965. MR 0223128
29. Viktor Dmitrievič Kupradze, *Three-dimensional problems of elasticity and thermoelasticity*, North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Elsevier, Burlington, 2012.
30. Axel Målqvist and Anna Persson, *Multiscale techniques for parabolic equations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.08140 (2015).
31. Axel Målqvist and Daniel Peterseim, *Localization of elliptic multiscale problems*, Math. Comp. **83** (2014), no. 290, 2583–2603. MR 3246801
32. William McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR 1742312
33. J. M. Melenk, *On generalized finite-element methods*, Ph.D. thesis, 1995, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Maryland, College Park. MR 2692949
34. J. M. Melenk and S. A. Sauter, *Convergence analysis for finite element discretizations of the Helmholtz equation with Dirichlet-to-Neumann boundary conditions*, Math. Comp. **79** (2010), no. 272, 1871–1914.
35. Jens Markus Melenk, *Mapping properties of combined field Helmholtz boundary integral operators*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **44** (2012), no. 4, 2599–2636.

36. Jens Markus Melenk and Stefan Sauter, *Wavenumber explicit convergence analysis for Galerkin discretizations of the Helmholtz equation*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **49** (2011), no. 3, 1210–1243.
37. Jean-Claude Nédélec, *Acoustic and electromagnetic equations: Integral representations for harmonic problems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 144, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR 1822275
38. D. Peterseim, *Eliminating the pollution effect in Helmholtz problems by local subscale correction*, Math. Comp. (2016), To appear.
39. D. Peterseim, *Variational multiscale stabilization and the exponential decay of fine-scale correctors*, Building Bridges: Connections and Challenges in Modern Approaches to Numerical Partial Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 114, Springer International Publishing, 2016, To appear, doi 10.1007/978-3-319-41640-3.
40. Radek Tezaur and Charbel Farhat, *Three-dimensional discontinuous Galerkin elements with plane waves and lagrange multipliers for the solution of mid-frequency Helmholtz problems*, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering **66** (2006), no. 5, 796–815.
41. C.-Y. Wang and J. D. Achenbach, *Three-dimensional time-harmonic elastodynamic Green's functions for anisotropic solids*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **449** (1995), no. 1937, 441–458.
42. Jeff Zitelli, Ignacio Muga, Leszek Demkowicz, Jayadeep Gopalakrishnan, David Pardo, and Victor M. Calo, *A class of discontinuous Petrov–Galerkin methods. Part IV: The optimal test norm and time-harmonic wave propagation in 1D*, Journal of Computational Physics **230** (2011), no. 7, 2406–2432.

(D. L. Brown) School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
E-mail address: donald.brown(at)nottingham.ac.uk

(D. Gallistl) Institute for Numerical Simulation, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: gallistl(at)ins.uni-bonn.de